

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,985	04/03/2002	Sakari Laitinen-Vellonen	11001.094	3221	
7:	590 08/05/2005		EXAMINER		
Christopher J Fildes			OLSEN, KAJ K		
Fildes & Outlar Suite 2	nd		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
20916 Mack Avenue			1753		
Grosse Pointe \	Woods, MI 48236		DATE MAILED: 08/05/2009	DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Í	Advisory Action	10/089,985	LAITINEN-VELLONEN, SAKARI	
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kaj K. Olsen	1753	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 27 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	•
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have under set fo may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the strth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
3. 🗵	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further conditions. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belon) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
_	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. <u> </u>	3 3 3 4 4 7		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
ΔFFII	Claim(s) objected to: <u>19,22,23,25 and 26</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>18,20,21 and 24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>14-17</u> . DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🗍	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
10. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
	JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowar	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other:	K	(A) K. OLSEN MARY EXAMINER	-8/3/03

XC

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitation of claim 18 is a new issue not previously considered.